



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS
JOURNALS + DIGITAL PUBLISHING

Asian American History Forum: Introduction

Author(s): MAE M. NGAI

Source: *Pacific Historical Review*, Vol. 76, No. 4 (November 2007), pp. 533-536

Published by: [University of California Press](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/phr.2007.76.4.533>

Accessed: 08/10/2014 13:47

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at
<http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



University of California Press is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Pacific Historical Review*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

Asian American History Forum: Introduction

MAE M. NGAI

The author is a member of the history department at Columbia University.

This introduction considers the ways that the following articles by Erika Lee, Dorothy Fujita-Rony, Judy Tzu-Chun Wu, and Paul Spickard contribute to new directions in the study of Asian American history and transnationalism.

During the last five to seven years there has been an enormous outpouring of scholarship in Asian American history that not only has transformed the field of Asian American studies but also has influenced history and American studies more broadly. Asian American historical scholarship has exemplified new heuristic frameworks and research methodologies and has produced new knowledge that has changed the way we think about Asian American and American history.¹ Some of the most noteworthy advances have been in transnational and diasporic-migration studies, in the study of the nation and colonialism, and in intersectional analyses of race/ethnicity and gender/sexuality. The new scholarship

I thank the editors of the *Pacific Historical Review* for organizing this forum and the authors and discussants for their contributions.

1. Elsewhere I have hypothesized that these intellectual innovations may be explained in part by the unusual conditions of knowledge production that attended the doctoral education of the generation of Asian Americanists studying during the 1990s. Of the dissertations on Asian American history completed between 1990 and 2005, 86 percent were supervised by non-specialists and more than half were completed at universities in the northeastern and midwestern United States. Inspired by the founding generation of Asian American scholars, who legitimated the field within academia and built undergraduate Asian American studies programs, this next generation of Asian Americanists training in traditional history departments had to communicate and justify their ideas to advisers who had little or no background in the field. While non-specialists came to appreciate that Asian American history was not marginal but in fact posed important questions for U.S. and Asian history, graduate students also connected their work to broader historical themes and methods. Mae M. Ngai, "Asian American History—Reflections on the De-centering of the Field," *Journal of American Ethnic History*, 25 (Summer 2006), 97–108.

Pacific Historical Review, Vol. 76, No. 4, pages 533–535. ISSN 0030-8684

© 2007 by the Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved.

Please direct all requests for permission to photocopy or reproduce article content through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions website, at <http://www.uspressjournals.com/reprintinfo.asp> DOI: [phr.2007.76.4.533](http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/00308684-2007-004).

has been both analytically innovative—broadening our range of vision of migration and settlement patterns; the circuitry of culture and politics; the reach of states' power and ambitions on the global stage; the myriad elements that constitute immigrant and national identities—and based on extensive empirical research, often conducted in more than one national archive and with sources in more than one language. It is the combination of conceptual sophistication and deep empirical grounding that accounts for the influence of this work.²

In this forum on new directions in Asian American history, one gets the sense of even broader analytical horizons coming into view. The essays presented here by Erika Lee, Dorothy Fujita-Rony, and Judy Tzu-Chun Wu describe, respectively, their current research projects (in each case, the author's second book); Paul Spickard's contribution discusses the past and future construction of "Asian American" as a political and research concept. Two Americanists with interests in transnational and Pacific-world history, Thomas Bender and David Iglter, comment on the four essays to round out the forum.

2. Publications that exemplify these intellectual trends include: John Kuo Wei Tchen, *New York before Chinatown: Orientalism and the Shaping of American Culture, 1776–1882* (Baltimore, 1999); Adam McKeown, *Chinese Migrant Networks and Cultural Change: Peru, Chicago, Hawaii, 1900–1936* (Chicago, 1999); Madeline Y. Hsu, *Dreaming of Gold, Dreaming of Home: Transnationalism and Migration between the United States and South China, 1882–1943* (Stanford, Calif., 2000); Yong Chen, *Chinese San Francisco, 1850–1943: A Transpacific Community* (Stanford, Calif., 2000); Nayan Shah, *Contagious Divides: Epidemics and Race in San Francisco's Chinatown* (Berkeley, 2001); Henry Yu, *Thinking Orientals: Migration, Contact, and Exoticism in Modern America* (New York, 2002); Catherine Ceniza Choy, *Empire of Care: Nursing and Migration in Filipino American History* (Durham, N.C., 2003); Erika Lee, *At America's Gates: Chinese Immigration during the Exclusion Era, 1882–1943* (Chapel Hill, N.C., 2003); Dorothy B. Fujita-Rony, *American Workers, Colonial Power: Philippine Seattle and the Transpacific West, 1919–1941* (Berkeley, 2003); Mary Ting Yi Lui, *The Chinatown Trunk Mystery: Murder, Miscegenation, and Other Dangerous Encounters in Turn-of-the-Century New York City* (Princeton, N.J., 2004); Augusto Espiritu, *Five Faces of Exile: The Nation and Filipino American Intellectuals* (Stanford, Calif., 2005); Eiichiro Azuma, *Between Two Empires: Race, History, and Transnationalism in Japanese America* (New York, 2005); Karen Leong, *The China Mystique: Pearl S. Buck, Anna May Wong, Mayling Soong, and the Transformation of American Orientalism* (Berkeley, 2005); Judy Tzu-Chun Wu, *Doctor Mom Chung of the Fair-Haired Bastards: The Life of a Wartime Celebrity* (Berkeley, 2005); Moon-Ho Jung, *Coolies and Cane: Race, Labor, and Sugar in the Age of Emancipation* (Baltimore, 2006); K. Scott Wong, *Americans First: Chinese Americans and the Second World War* (Cambridge, Mass., 2005); Linda España-Maram, *Creating Masculinity in Los Angeles's Little Manila: Working-Class Filipinos and Popular Culture, 1920s–1950s* (New York, 2006).

Lee, Fujita-Rony, and Wu's projects are notable in that they each push on the concepts of "transnationalism" and "Asian American," although in quite different ways. Whereas in recent scholarship "transnational" has mostly involved tracking back-and-forth (or in-between) dynamics involving two nation-states and cultures, these essays propose frameworks that situate Asian American and U.S. history in regional, hemispheric, and global worlds. "Global history" might seem a vague, even impossible, concept, but here we see how the analytical leap might be accomplished: by directing our attention to certain structures (economic, legal, geopolitical) and specific themes and problems that work across (or constitute) those structures. Specifically, Lee examines the symbiotic development of anti-Asiatic discourses and legal regimes in the Americas and in the Pacific Rim in the early twentieth century. Fujita-Rony deploys the concept "land and water," reshaping the meaning of U.S. Western history and drawing attention to American colonial possessions in the Pacific and the role of Asian American "water workers" in the development of the West (seamen, fishermen, cannery workers). Wu considers the workings of "radical Orientalism" in Third World solidarity movements in the long decade of the 1960s.

Spickard reminds us of the historical and constructed nature of "Asian American" and urges us to think about new subjects such as biracial persons, transnational adoptees, and refugees. Lee, Fujita-Rony, and Wu's works index another development in Asian American studies, which broadens the frame beyond the single ethnic-group study. Lee shows how a racial discourse against all Asians grew throughout the Pacific world. Fujita-Rony's focus on water workers prompts a comparative study of different Asian ethnic groups in the region's economic development. Wu's subjects, activists of the 1960s, identified themselves as Asian Americans, whether they were of Chinese, Japanese, or other ancestry.

These projects by no means exhaust the range of work now being done in Asian American studies. They do offer a sample of the diverse ways in which scholars are pushing the boundaries of the field.

“Asian American history is filled with innumerable racist incidents,” the essay, written by Larry Kubota, said. “Looking back into the past, it is incredible that we have taken so long to confront this problem of racism. We are relegated to second-class citizenship, and adding insult upon injury, we are told to be thankful for our blessings!” Today, however, is the dawn of a new era. Sometimes there were beach parties or bowling nights, but mostly Gidra staffers were learning, in teach-ins and study circles and protests, about the history of their elders, about capitalism and Marxism and Maoism, about the Soviet Union and the United States’ proxy wars, and about the greed for resources that drew them into such wars. Asian American Studies, American Religious History, North American Religions. Asian Literatures in English. Objective: Like the barrio advantage theory related to Mexican Americans, a theory about the protective effects of Chinese American enclaves is developing. A concluding forum by three historians of the Japanese diaspora offers a critical reflection on the assembled papers and places them within a wider academic context. Save to Library. Download. Throughout their history, Asian Americans have confronted a long legacy of exclusion and inequity in relation to school policies and practices, particularly during periods of changing demographics, economic recession, or war. In spite of historic, linguistic differences, distinct Asian nationalities have been grouped together and treated similarly in schools and in the larger society. The grouping of Asian Americans together, then, makes sense in light of historic links from the past to the present. Beginning in the 1850s when young single men were recruited as contract laborers from Southern Portland Seminary, Oregon. Follow Asian American Theological Forum on WordPress.com. Archives. Archives. Select Month December 2020 November 2020 June 2020 November 2019 June 2019 May 2019 January 2019 November 2018 June 2018 May 2018 March 2018 December 2017 November 2017 June 2017 May 2017 March 2017 February 2017 December 2016 November 2016 June 2016 February 2016 January 2016 October 2015 September 2015 June 2015 May 2015 April 2015 February 2015 January 2015 November 2014 October. 2014 September 2014 August 2014 July 2014 June 2014. Top Posts. Beauty and Reverence: Great and Holy Friday E The AsianAmericans community on Reddit. Reddit gives you the best of the internet in one place. Join the Forum KultureMedia! About Asian Americans Anything related to Asian and Pacific Islander Americans, as well as other Asians who grew up outside of Asia. our purpose is to foster a sense of community among Asian Americans and their respective counterparts in the Asian diaspora. Topics do not necessarily need to be related to race as long as they contribute to the community.