



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *The Digital Difference: Media Technology and the Theory of Communication Effects*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/129939/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Coleman, S [orcid.org/0000-0001-9571-4759](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9571-4759) (2017) The Digital Difference: Media Technology and the Theory of Communication Effects. *Journal of Communication*, 67 (6). E7-E8. ISSN 0021-9916

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12342>

---

© 2017 International Communication Association. This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in *Journal of Communication* following peer review. The version of record is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12342>. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

*The Digital Difference: Media Technology and the Theory of Communication Effects.* W. Russell Neuman. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass. 2016, pp. 369.

This book contributes impressively to our understanding of the digital reconfiguration of the communication ecology. Neuman offers us a thoughtful, sophisticated, empirically rich analysis of the ways in which the mediation of human relationships can no longer be explained in terms of the long-established media effects paradigm. It falls into three main parts.

The first (comprising the first two chapters) offers an insightful account of the development of media effects theories and the challenges to most of their underlying assumptions presented by the emergence of a radically expanded media flow. Neuman engages critically with the principal axioms of pre-digital media effects theory, most notably the preoccupation with measuring the strength of a limited range of powerful stimuli. In an environment of digital media abundance, in which effects are more likely to emanate from exposure to a fragmented constellation of inter-textually complex and polysemically ambiguous sources, public meaning is rendered unstable. While early media effects researchers were concerned about the dangers of over-determined effects, the situation now is one of radical equivocality. There is a sense in which public meaning has become tribalised.

In chapters 3, 4 and 5 Neuman explores the micro-ramifications of this greater interpretive variability. His refined analysis is organised around three concepts: profusion, polysemy and polarisation: there are more sources of authoritative knowledge to choose from; more opportunities for subjective resymbolisation; and more ways of reinforcing beliefs by ignoring unwanted information and remaining within niches of ideological seclusion. The consequences of each of these individualising tendencies for the public domain raises a fundamentally important normative challenge:

The psychology of individual humans is tribal in nature. Humans are prone to polarization, to the interpretation of polysemic speech in different ways resulting from their diverse social identities and lifeworld experiences. Miscommunication and noncommunication result. The challenge to the establishment of collective norms and institutions in the structuring of communication and the public sphere at the social level is to take that component of human nature self-consciously into account – to institutionally and culturally compensate in response to the impulse toward tribal polarization. (p.290)

The final part of this book (chapters 6 and 7) responds to this policy dilemma. Neuman begins by interrogating the 'marketplace of ideas' metaphor and exposing the structural dangers facing any commercialised system of public knowledge and debate. This leads him to ask whether the same risks of corporate hegemony apply to the digital media ecology. He seems optimistic: 'The Internet provides the opportunity in the public sphere for all who had only the opportunity to listen the opportunity now to speak, as well' (p.247). Neuman goes on to say that 'Perhaps the most exciting structural innovation in the new media environment is the explosion of collaborative and social media that permit new forms of networking and information sharing and the structured aggregation of content' (p.260). On p.254 he asks whether such embryonically democratic developments are capable of challenging the long-standing dominance of the media marketplace. He answers that this depends 'on how we as a community of concerned public citizens respond to this critical juncture in public policy and commercial practice'. On p.305 the call for fresh policy thinking is even more emphatic:

... the revolution of digital networks and media provides us with a most welcome opportunity to rethink how we systematically study and how, accordingly, we might self-consciously structure the practices, institutions and norms of the public sphere to better serve important values and ideals.

Alas, I am not convinced that this opportunity to rethink is taken up in the pages that follow. Neuman most certainly sets out a hugely valuable critique of pre-digital media effects theory; clearly identifies significant fractures within the digitally-enabled public domain; and rightly urges media scholars to think afresh about the policy implications of their work. But he does not offer anything close to a proposal – or set of proposals – aimed at consciously restructuring the practices, institutions and norms of the public sphere. Perhaps the reason for this lies in the opacity of the final part of Neuman's call to arms: 'to better serve important values and ideals'. What sort of values and ideals? Determined by whom? Implemented by whom? Given the implicitly normative thrust of Neuman's generally compelling analysis, it would have been good to hear more from him about how the norms of public communication might themselves be arrived at through democratic agency. What are the human capabilities that a democratic public sphere should generate and sustain?

This book is essential reading for anyone who believes that the foundational assumptions of media effects theory are directly applicable to the contemporary media ecology. As a comprehensive and insightful account of the problematics of contemporary public communication, this should be on all student reading lists. As a critique of old policy assumptions and a call for fresh thinking, it is both suggestive and frustrating.

Stephen Coleman

Professor of Political Communication, School of Media and Communication, University of Leeds

The digital divide reflects various differences among and within countries. The ability of individuals and businesses to take advantage of the Internet varies significantly across the OECD area as well as between OECD and non-member countries. Access to basic telecommunications infrastructures is fundamental to any consideration of the issue, as it precedes and is more widely available than access to and use of the Internet. Because of the current interest in these issues, both among governments and the public, the OECD has begun efforts to measure the digital divide. Well-documented, methodical, provocative, and clear, *The Digital Difference* deserves a prominent place in communication seminars and graduate courses in research methods because of its reorientation of media effects research and its application to media policy making. John P. Ferris, *Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly*. About the Author. W. Russell Neuman is Professor of Media Technology at New York University. Product details. Item Weight : 1.53 pounds. Explain the uses of various media effects theories. Early media studies focused on the use of mass media in propaganda and persuasion. However, journalists and researchers soon looked to behavioral sciences to help figure out the possible effect of mass media and communications on society. Scholars have developed many different approaches and theories to figure this out. His supporters point to the hopes and achievements of digital technology and the utopian state that such innovations promise. The current critique of McLuhan, however, is a bit more revealing of the state of modern media studies. Media scholars are much more numerous now than they were during the 1960s, and many of these scholars criticize McLuhan's lack of methodology and theoretical framework. The social media have enhanced the power, and exacerbated the problems, of the active audience. This ambitious book by a maestro of communication research is at once a history of the field and its changing zeitgeist, a critique and reconciliation of its dominant paradigms, a diagnosis of the cognitive and societal processes of reception, and an insistence on its relevance to the making of public policy. Download (6.17MB). *Rebuilding The News: Metropolitan Journalism In The Digital Age*.